| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 10/067,181 | MARTIN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | R. DAVID RINES | 3626 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>R. DAVID RINES</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Daniel A. Thompson (Reg. #43,189)</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 23 September 2008 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: NA | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner and Representative agreed to an amendment to claim 1 to overcome potential problems with patentability under 35 U.S.C. 101 statutory subject matter in consideration of In re. Bilski. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| /R. DAVID RINES/ Examiner, Art Unit 3626 | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | | |